Type of Offshore Assets

Offshore Assets



Offshore assets decommissioning- logistically and technically more challenging than onshore



Type of Offshore Assets

472 m

Root



- Massive offshore structures significant technical, logistical and safety challenge.
- Once cut and lifted, structures need to be brought to a suitable onshore port and demolition yard. For countries new to decommissioning, a new local port and demolition yard often need to be developed.
- Waste management infrastructure needs to be ready to process these waste- including steel recycling facilities, oily liquid processing plants and engineered landfills.



RECAP:

Key decommissioning decisions

Options selected via a multi criteria decision analysis / comparative assessment process considering safety, environment, social economic and stakeholders' views.



Let's understand the challenges & opportunities through case studies

Options for decommissioning – YouTube 2:17mins video- Decommissioning options for Brent



- In a country with
 - · limited landfill capacity, no engineered landfills
 - no recycling capacity
 - no steel smelting plant
 - No purposed-built onshore decommissioning yard exists
 - Expecting to decommission 50+ structures in the next 10 years
- Shallow water field
 - 21 numbers of 30-50MT platforms
 - 10-20m water depth
 - Stop producing in 2019, wells still live but shut in
 - Pipelines and topsides shut in but still contain hydrocarbon
 - Close to shoreline

Cold suspension Removal Warm suspension Site CoP decision Dismantle Plugged wells Live wells Surveillance & Dispose When? 3 Level of maintenance & inspection? Extent of removal / "end state" Where? How? How often? Post decom Waste management Decommissioning Methodology Early removal scope? ownership strategy and plans

Discuss:

- What are the risks to people and the environment?
- · What needs to be maintained and inspected?
- How should the asset be decommissioned?
- What are the opportunities for the country?
- What are the challenges?







Discussion

1. What are the risks to people and the environment?

- Wells are still live, risk of loss of containment from wells, pipelines and topsides piping/equipment
- Platforms need to be visited for well anulus pressure checks. If structure integrity is poor, there is a risk of harm to people from fallen objects, poor integrity of boatlanding. If it becomes unsafe to visit, then wells cannot be inspected.

2. What should be maintained and inspected?

- Wells
- Access boatlanding- padeyes, swing ropes; helideck- safety system, structures
- Integrity of the structure (underwater, depending on corrosion rateonce every 5-10 years)

3. How should the asset be decommissioned?

Subject to Multi-decision analysis,

- but as this is shallow water, the likely best solution is for the structures is to be cut and removed to shore for onshore dismantling
- Pipelines-?

4. What are the opportunities for the country?

• Development of supply chain for decommissioning, local business development and creation of jobs

5. What are the challenges?

- Availability of waste management processing system in country
- Readiness of supply chains, availability of skills and resources
- Impact of decommissioning activities (removal- disturbance to seabed etc.) on the near shore sensitive environment- must be managed



Steel structure (Jacket > 10,000MT)

- Complex footing configuration
- Harsh Metocean condition
- No local dismantling yards
- One of very few offshore fields in the country

Discuss in the decision process:

- What are the challenges to consider?
- What are the opportunities?
- Who needs to be engaged?
- When should decommissioning planning start?



Another similar case study example:

 Brent Alpha (4mins) Leaving footing in place? Regulations allow flexibility: <u>https://youtu.be/4srmj_BMONM</u>



In the decision process:

- What are the challenges to consider?
- What are the opportunities?
- Who needs to be engaged?
- When should decommissioning planning start?





Discussion

In the decision process:

- 1. What are the challenges to consider?
- Large structure, complex substructure- Technologically challenging to remove
- As the field is remote, there will be significant logistical challenges. With very few similar structures requiring the same resource for decommissioning, the ability to share mobilization / demobilization cost is limited.
- 2. What are the opportunities?
- Regional synergies (but need to overcome challenges of crossborder import / export rules)

- 3. Who needs to be engaged?
- Stakeholders offshore- other sea users
- Government, Public, NGO
- 4. When should decommissioning planning start?
- Understanding of Asset Retirement Obligations (ARO) as early as possible. This means a need to understand the challenges and develop a base case (most likely) scenario.
- As the asset moves closer to CoP (Cessation of Production) date, a project team should be formed at least 5 years prior to execution.



A steel piled jacket structure, 4 legs; country has reefing program in place and attempted reefing of purpose-built concrete structure, and other artificial reefs.

Discussion

- Who needs to be engaged?
- Does reefing always result in cost savings?
- How can all stakeholders be assured that reefing achieve its purpose?



Refer to later module: IOGP's case studies – reefing as an option & tools used by the industry



Map of artificial reefs (from oil and gas structures)



Summary: Challenges in decommissioning

Early planning & understanding decommissioning costs, during asset sales & transfers

SPEI

Home World U.S. Politics Leonomy Dusiness Tech Markets Upinion Books & Arts Real Estate Life & Work WiSJ Magazine Sports

Oil Companies Are Ordered to Help Cover \$7.2 Billion Cleanup Bill in Gulf of Mexico

Large oil producers will have to foot some of the costs of capping and abandoning offshore wells they used to own

Tog boats took a semi-submersible drilling platform through Texas' Port Aransas Channel into the Galf of Mexico an December

PHOTO TOM PENNINGTON/GETTY IMAGES

By Christopher M. Motthews July 5, 2021 7 00 art ET Orphaned assets- bankruptcies & unknown owners – who pays? Offshore oil & gas producers to pay for \$1B Northern Endeavour cleanup

For every dollar Woodside saved in 2015 selling the Northern Endoavour, the oil and gas industry may have to pay back threefold effer the Federal Government moved to protect ordinary tarpayers from the cost of decommissioning the vessel and oil field.

Summary: Opportunities in decommissioning

Regulations and national policies can enable these opportunities; support evidence-based science and technologies

Summary: Manage challenges and realise opportunities

- Through early planning; policies and regulations that are risk-based and allow considerations of developing science and technologies.
- New offshore structures to consider decommissioning in its design, including for the wind industry.
- Maintain overview of decommissioning costs estimates, ensure sufficient funds.
- Prepare the supply chains needed to execute the work, potential for efficient campaigns.
- Develop decommissioning science and technologies for site surveys, data collection and execution.

Innovation through Partnership

CODV

CENTRE OF DECOMMISSIONING AUSTRALIA

INSITE INfluence of Structures In The Ecosystem

Some organisations developing decommissioning guidance and/ or leading the decommissioning Science and Technologies

